

Open Education Resources: evaluation checklist

<i>Name of the OER:</i>	The basics of language learning			
<i>Description:</i>	Brief and concise introduction to the basics of language teaching, with a focus on basic skills, the phases of the linguistic process and the difference between learning and acquisition.			
<i>URL:</i>	https://storyline-projects-edoardo-mascheroni.s3.eu-central-1.amazonaws.com/The+basics+of+language+learning/story.html			
<i>Completion date:</i>	21 February 2023			
<i>License/usage protections:</i>	Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike: CC BY-NC-SA			
<i>Name of the evaluator:</i>	Edoardo Mascheroni			
<i>Score legend:</i>	<i>High / 3 points</i>	<i>Good / 2 points</i>	<i>Low / 1 point</i>	<i>N/A</i>

RELEVANCE

<i>How important is the content of the OER to our learning objective?</i>				
<i>Score:</i>	3			
<i>Notes:</i>	The content is highly relevant to the learning objectives of the course.			

ACCURACY

<i>How correct is the content of the OER? Is the information up to date? Is the form (spelling, grammar) correct?</i>				
<i>Score:</i>	3			
<i>Notes:</i>	The content is very accurate and up to date.			

QUALITY

Consider the technical quality of the OER in terms of graphic layout, audio/video quality, clarity of exposition.

Open Education Resources: evaluation checklist

Score:

2

Notes:

The course is qualitatively good, even if a bit repetitive in some slides and can be improved as the experience of the designer who created it increases.

ACCESSIBILITY

Is the OER free? Is it easy to access from the web? Is it possible to download it? Is it possible to edit it? Is it possible to convert it to another format?

Score:

2

Notes:

The course is accessible for free online, but is not downloadable or editable.

ENGAGEMENT

How attractive is the OER to a learner? It's interesting? Does it catch the attention or is it boring?

Score:

2

Notes:

The course is sufficiently engaging, but it would be possible to add more interaction for even more engaging.

ADA COMPLIANCE

Is the OER accessible for disabled people? If text, is a screen reader accessible and easy to use? If video/audio, is closed captioned or transcripts available?

Score:

3

Notes:

The course is certainly careful from the point of view of inclusiveness. For example, the integrated videos and podcasts all have closed captions.

TOTAL SCORE: 15/18

Final and general comment:

It is a good quality course, inclusive and presenting relevant and up-to-date information. It could be improved in terms of engagement.